

Pleading Perfect
1706 Chester Ave., Suite 360C
Bakersfield, CA 93301
Royal Legal (769-255-3425)

July 15, 2026

[REDACTED] Bateman
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Re: Bateman v. Bateman correction and amended judgment packet

Dear [REDACTED] Bateman,

We looked through the documents you sent us. A few items appear worth checking before anything is filed or signed, so we listed them below in the order that may be most helpful.

Here are the items that may be worth checking before the documents are filed or signed:

1. The packet contains several different versions of the proposed amended judgment and property attachment, including versions in the 79-page retired file and the separate FL-345 dated 082725. Because the wording and figures differ, please identify one final set and remove older working copies from the filing or signature packet so the intended version is clear.
2. On FL-345 page 1, the petitioner is listed with an estimated [REDACTED] and the respondent with an estimated [REDACTED]. Those entries add to [REDACTED], while an earlier signed version in the retired file uses [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. Please check which figures are intended and make the two entries consistent.
3. FL-345 page 1 gives the petitioner a [REDACTED] monthly amount but does not give a monthly amount for the respondent. The supporting staff note says the respondent's monthly figure was still needed, so please check whether that blank information should be completed before this version is used.
4. The two retirement entries on FL-345 page 1 say "Respondents Military Retired Pay" without an apostrophe and use the phrases "estimated [REDACTED] of to be determined value" and "estimated [REDACTED] of to be determined value." Those phrases appear incomplete or awkward, so the possessive wording and value descriptions may need proofreading.
5. FL-345 page 2 includes a [REDACTED] estimated value for the petitioner's separate property, while the entered judgment copy appears to have that value crossed out and other amended versions do not all handle the entry the same way. Please check which wording and amount are intended in the final version.
6. The Request and Declaration for Correction names Judicial Officer Raymonda B. Marquez on page 1, but the entered judgment identifies Lisa M. Pacione. Please check which name, if any, is intended so the request does not conflict with the court-stamped judgment.
7. The third-party correction declaration lists the correction request, an amended FL-180, an amended FL-345, and an amended FL-190. The separately uploaded working documents do not include a

complete matching set of all four items, so please check that every document named in the declaration is present in the final packet and uses the same version.

8. The third-party declarations show the preparer's registration expiration as January 21, 2026, which is earlier than the date of this letter. Please check the current expiration date and update it wherever the declaration will be used.
9. The preparer contact information varies across the packet: the correction declarations use Suite 347 and 661-489-3369, the entered judgment uses Suite 351 and 661-873-4423, the staff note uses Suite 350 and 661-489-3369, and the older declaration uses 661-587-8423. Please confirm the current suite and telephone number and use them consistently.
10. In the third-party correction declaration, the compensation paragraph says “the amount ... were,” begins a sentence fragment with “Fees,” and first refers to preparation and filing but then refers only to preparation. The paragraph may read more clearly if the grammar and service description are made consistent.
11. The caption on the third-party correction and default declarations reads “INPROPRIA PERSONA.” The words appear run together, and the caption also contains several loose closing parentheses around the title area. Please check the spacing and clean up the caption layout.
12. The signature line on the third-party correction declaration ends with a stray hyphen, and the date line appears as a short underline separated from “Dated.” These marks may make the signature area look unfinished, so the lines and labels may need to be realigned before signing.
13. The third-party default declaration breaks onto a nearly blank second page containing only “Dated” and the petitioner's name. Keeping the date and signature area with the declaration text may avoid an unnecessary page and make the document easier to sign and scan.

14. The older 3rd Party Dec_DissoBATEMAN draft leaves the case number blank and has a crowded prepared-by block and caption with text and parentheses out of alignment. If that document is still intended for use, please add the correct case number and clean up the header and caption.
15. That older declaration identifies the petitioner as “[REDACTED] Bateman” in the body, while the caption and the other case papers use “[REDACTED] Bateman.” It also shortens “[REDACTED]” to “[REDACTED]” Please check whether the full name and address presentation should match the rest of the packet.
16. The Request and Declaration for Correction contains several proofreading points: “summons and petition ... reflects” has a plural-subject mismatch, “but need to be corrected” appears to need “needs,” and “under penalty and perjury” appears to use an extra “and.” Please proofread these phrases before the document is signed.
17. Page 2 of the correction request refers to the “Defense Finance Accounting Service” and to a paralegal from that organization. Because the packet does not include the source communication, please check the organization's exact name and the person's title against the original source.
18. The order section calls the document a “Request for Order,” while the caption calls it a “Request and Declaration for Correction,” and the footer shortens the title again. The final sentence also ends with a comma and says “in accordance with request” without “the.” Making the title references and closing sentence consistent may avoid confusion.

Pleading Perfect is happy to help you make these corrections, or you may make the corrections yourself. We can also efile the documents for you, or you may efile them directly at EFileSystem.com. Please call us at Royal Legal (769-255-3425) if you would like our help.

Sincerely,

Pamela Perez
Pleading Perfect

P.S. I thought a checklist might help you, so I’ve added one at no extra charge.

Bateman v. Bateman – Checklist

- ☐ Identify the final amended judgment and property attachment, and separate the older working versions from the filing or signature packet.
- ☐ Check whether the FL-345 shares should total [REDACTED] and whether the intended figures are percentages or dollar amounts.
- ☐ Check whether FL-345 should include the respondent's monthly amount as well as the petitioner's [REDACTED] amount.
- ☐ Proofread the possessive wording and the “of to be determined value” phrases on FL-345 page 1.
- ☐ Check whether the [REDACTED] separate-property value on FL-345 page 2 matches the intended final wording.
- ☐ Check the judicial officer name in the correction request against the entered judgment.
- ☐ Confirm that the final packet contains matching versions of every document listed in the third-party correction declaration.
- ☐ Check and update the preparer's registration expiration date on any declaration intended for current use.
- ☐ Confirm the preparer's current suite and telephone number and make them consistent throughout the final packet.
- ☐ Correct the grammar and make the service description consistent in the compensation paragraph.
- ☐ Correct the spacing in “INPROPRIA PERSONA” and remove loose caption marks from the third-party declarations.
- ☐ Remove the stray hyphen and realign the date and signature lines on the third-party correction declaration.
- ☐ Reformat the third-party default declaration so its date and signature area does not sit alone on a nearly blank page.
- ☐ If the older 3rd Party Dec_DissoBATEMAN draft will be used, complete its case number and repair its header and caption layout.
- ☐ If the older declaration will be used, make the petitioner's full name and address presentation consistent with the other papers.
- ☐ Proofread the plural verb, the “need/needs” phrase, and the “penalty and perjury” phrase in the correction request.
- ☐ Check the exact organization name and the described employee title against the original source communication.
- ☐ Make the correction document's title references consistent and complete the final sentence of the proposed order.

Please note: Pleading Perfect is not a law firm, and our team members are not attorneys. This review is not legal advice. We looked only for apparent formatting, spelling, grammar, and consistency issues in the documents. We did not evaluate the legal claims, defenses, strategy, or whether the documents state or rely on the correct legal theories. Questions about legal rights, legal deadlines, legal procedures, or the legal effect of any document should be directed to a licensed attorney.