

Court Corrections
1234 L Street
Bakersfield, CA 93301
Prime Court (774-632-6878)

July 15, 2026

Jesus [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Re: Jesus [REDACTED] v. Jessica [REDACTED] — unlawful-detainer document packet

Dear Jesus [REDACTED],

We looked through the documents you sent us. A few items appear worth checking before anything else is filed, signed, or served, so we listed them below in the order that may be most helpful.

Here are the items that may be worth checking before the documents are filed or signed:

- ☐ The Notice of Confirmation of Electronic Filing appears to belong to Joseph [REDACTED] v. Lorena [REDACTED], case [REDACTED], at the Mojave court rather than to your case. It may expose another client's information or be attached to the wrong packet, so please check whether it should be removed and replaced with the confirmation for your case.
- ☐ The Civil Case Cover Sheet, Summons—Eviction, and Complaint—Unlawful Detainer appear to have blank case-number fields, while both Proof of Service of Summons forms show case number [REDACTED]. The repeated case information may be clearer if the intended number is checked and made consistent on every copy that will be filed, signed, or served.
- ☐ The Summons—Eviction copy appears to have blank court-issuance areas on page 2, including the date and clerk or deputy entry. Because the service forms say that a summons was served, please check whether the packet contains the final issued copy rather than an earlier unissued copy.
- ☐ Two separate files appear to contain the same three-page Civil Case Cover Sheet. Duplicate versions could create uncertainty about which copy is final, so please compare them and keep the intended copy in the filing and service packet.
- ☐ The Civil Case Cover Sheet appears to mark the case as not complex, but item 2d on both Proof of Service of Summons forms marks "Civil Case Cover Sheet (served in complex cases only)" as one of the papers served. The document list may be worth checking so it accurately describes the papers intended to be listed.

- On page 2 of the Proof of Service of Summons for Unknown Occupants, item 6 appears to mark both “as an individual defendant” and “on behalf of,” with the occupant selection also marked. These selections may look inconsistent, so please check which description was intended and make the boxes agree.
- On both Proof of Service of Summons forms, the service address appears as “Bakersfild” instead of “Bakersfield,” and the other-document list appears to spell “Possession” as “Possesion.” Correcting those entries may make the service record clearer and more professional.
- Several handwritten date and time entries on the Proof of Service of Summons forms are faint or difficult to read, especially the personal-service entry for Jessica [REDACTED] and the substituted-service and mailing entries for Unknown Occupants. A clearer copy or clearly written entries may help prevent uncertainty about what the forms say.
- Item 21 on page 4 of the Complaint—Unlawful Detainer says that three pages are attached, but the complaint file appears to contain five pages after the four-page form: two exhibit-cover pages, the notice, and two proofs of service. Please check the intended attachment count and make it match the final packet.
- The exhibit-cover pages in the Complaint—Unlawful Detainer file appear to show “EXHIBIT ‘2’” twice on one page and “EXHIBIT ‘3’” twice on another. The repeated labels could look like duplicated material, so please check the cover pages and keep only the intended label on each.
- The separate Word file titled Proof of Service for unknown occupants appears to be an unfinished template. The property address, service selections, dates, times, signer’s printed name, and signature appear blank. If this file is intended for use, those entries may need attention; if it is only a blank working copy, removing it from the final packet may avoid confusion.
- The proof of service for the three-day notice to Unknown Occupants appears to leave the general mailing line unchecked while marking the separate line for a second mailed copy. The description of what was mailed may be clearer if the intended boxes and mailing date are checked for consistency.
- The packet appears to use Suite 351 and telephone number (661) 873-4423 for Bakersfield Paralegal in the page-top information, while the unlawful-detainer-assistant entries use Suite 347 and telephone number 661-489-3369 for Victor VeVea. These may be different contact details for different roles, but it may be worth confirming that both sets of information are intentional and current.

Court Corrections is happy to help you make these corrections, or you may make the corrections yourself. We can also efile the documents for you, or you may efile them directly at EFileSystem.com. Please call us at Prime Court (774-632-6878) if you would like our help.

Sincerely,

Carlos Cabrera
Court Corrections

Please note: Court Corrections is not a law firm, and our team members are not attorneys. This review is not legal advice. We looked only for apparent formatting, spelling, grammar, and consistency issues in the documents. We did not evaluate the legal claims, defenses, strategy, or whether the documents state or rely on the correct legal theories. Questions about legal rights, legal deadlines, legal procedures, or the legal effect of any document should be directed to a licensed attorney.